WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.			
Omar	Alexander Santos Villegas	Case Number:	15-9086MJ	
was present a	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 and represented by counsel. I conclude by the detention of the defendant pending tr	a preponderance of the evidence		
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	INDINGO OF FAOT		
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
×	The defendant, at the time of the charg	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ory.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing fro	m law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imp	risonment.	
The Court at the t	Court incorporates by reference the materi- time of the hearing in this matter, except as	al findings of the Pretrial Services as noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
	COI	NCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defenda No condition or combination of condition		earance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTION	IS REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correction pending appearance order of a confacility shall of	defendant is committed to the custody of the facility separate, to the extent practicableal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasurt of the United States or on request of an deliver the defendant to the United States N	le, from persons awaiting or servings on able opportunity for private con attorney for the Government, the planshal for the purpose of an appear	g sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
proceeding.		AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
to deliver a condition to District Court from the date	ORDERED that should an appeal of this copy of the motion for review/reconsideration. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., of service of a copy of this order or after the the district court. Failure to timely file of R.CRIM.P.	n to Pretrial Services at least one of effective December 1, 2009, Defe ne oral order is stated on the recor	day prior to the hearing set before the ndant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written	
Pretrial Servi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ces sufficiently in advance of the hearing be investigate the potential third party custod	efore the District Court to allow Pre		
DATE: N	March 24, 2015	9	Swilled	
	<u>. </u>	Unit	Eileen S. Willett ed States Magistrate Judge	